



International Journal of Multidisciplinary Engineering in Current Research

Volume 6, Issue 5, May 2021, <http://ijmec.com/>

Group Decision Making using Interval-Valued Intuitionistic Fuzzy Soft Matrix in Medical Diagnosis

Alok Kumar, Junita. K. Swer

, Lecturer, Dept. of Shalyatantra,
North East Institute of Ayurveda and Homeopathy, Shillong, India

Abstract

Using interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy soft matrices, we devised a new technique for solving group decision-making issues. An intuitionistic fuzzy soft matrix may be reduced by utilising the maximum and lowest values of both membership and non-membership as well as applying weight to them. The answer is found using IVIFSM's complement and value matrix. Finally, a real-world case study is used to verify the proposed approach.

Keywords: There are many different types of fuzzy sets and matrices that may be described as fuzzy. Value matrix, Complement and IFSM reduced

1.Introduction:

Because of their fuzziness, the foundational principles of fuzzy logic might give rise to doubt. However, in this instance, there is no obvious direction for the decision-making process to follow. More confidence might be generated by reducing fuzziness, possibly by using fuzzy logic or fuzzy sets. This sort of issue is well-suited to Zadeh's[7] traditional idea of fuzzy set. A generalisation of fuzzy set, intuitionistic fuzzy set, was developed by Atanassov[5,6]. Since Molodtsov[2] introduced soft set theory, it has

gotten a lot of attention. As time went on, Maji et al.[10,11] developed an extension of fuzzy soft sets known as intuitionistic fuzzy soft sets, which is a hybrid of the fuzzy and soft sets based on intuition. It has been suggested that the use of intuitionistic fuzzy soft sets in medical diagnostics by researchers such as B.K. Saikia[1] and others Interval valued fuzzy soft sets were introduced by Yang et al[16].

When it comes to coping with uncertainty, Borah and colleagues[8] came up with the notion of a fuzzy matrix. Rows and columns of values make it possible for an analyst to methodically identify, examine, and decide between the set of values and information. It is this list that is used. With the help of this

The consistency of Interval valued fuzzy relational equations has been discussed in this paper. Interval valued fuzzy soft matrices have been introduced by P. Rajarajeswari and P. Dhanalakshmi[12,13], as have a reduced IFSM, its types, and some new operations based on weights.

It is an extension of the intuitionistic fuzzy soft matrix, which is interval-valued. Group decision approaches based on intuitionistic fuzzy soft matrices have been suggested by J. Mao et al[3]. Multiple attribute group decision making using interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy soft



International Journal of Multidisciplinary Engineering in Current Research

Volume 6, Issue 5, May 2021, <http://ijmec.com/>

matrices was also presented by S.Das and others[14]. In a study by Sujit Das et al[15], specialists were given more weight in group decision making.

Group decision making in medical diagnostics may be improved by allocating weight to the interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy soft matrix. Using the weighted vector, we define the concept of reduced intuitionistic fuzzy soft matrix, and we convert interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy membership and non-membership values into one intuitionistic fuzzy value.

2. Preliminaries:

"Fuzzy" set of rules:

What's more, it's possible to write down fuzzy sets on universal sets in the form of an ordered pair collection, such as $A = (X, A(X))$

A function that returns 0 or 1 is called $A(x)$ in this example.

Set of Fuzzy Soft:

X and a set of parameters are referred to be a soft set of universal set. To put it simply, E is a two-part structure (F, A) where A is a subset of E and F is a function from A to the set of all fuzzy subsets of the set X (i.e., $F: A \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X)$). Soft fuzzy set based on intuition:

Let X be the universal set and E be the set of parameters, and let $A \subseteq E$ be the condition. Fuzzy soft sets over X are termed "intuitionistic" when one of the members of the pair (F, A) is a mapping provided by the formula $F: A \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X)$, where $\mathcal{F}(X)$ is a collection of all "fuzzy" parts of X . A fuzzy soft set with interval-valued fuzzy values:

Suppose that X is the starting universe set and that E is the set of parameters; then, $A \subseteq E$ is the condition to be satisfied. Interval valued fuzzy soft set over X is a pair (F, A) where F is a mapping provided by $F: A \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X)$ where $\mathcal{F}(X)$ is the collection of all Interval valued fuzzy subsets of X . F and A are termed this. Soft set of interval-valued intuitionism:

Let X be the universal set and E be the set of parameters, and let $A \subseteq E$ be the condition. $F: A \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X)$, where $\mathcal{F}(X)$ indicates the collection of all interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy subsets of X , is a pair (F, A) termed interval valued intuitionistic fuzzy soft set over X . Matrix of the Fuzzy

$A = [a_{ij}]_{m \times n}$, where a_{ij} is the membership value and $a_{ij} \in [0, 1]$ defines a fuzzy matrix A of rank $m \times n$. $A = [a_{ij}]_{m \times n}$ is the formula for this matrix. Soft Matrixes: Fuzzy Soft

Let $X = \{a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_m\}$ be the universal set and $E = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, \dots, e_n\}$ be the set of parameters. Set (A, E, F, A) to a fuzzier, softer value (X, E) . Assuming $j=1, 2, \dots, m$ and $i=1, 2, \dots, m$, we may write $A_{m \times n} = [a_{ij}]_{m \times n}$ as the fuzzy soft matrix form of (F, A) .

In this case, $a_{ij} =$
 $E_j = A_i$, and so forth.

otherwise, and the fuzzy set membership of a_i is denoted by $j(a_i)$.
 $F(e_j)$.

Soft matrix with interval-valued intervals:

It's worth noting that $E = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5\}$ is the collection of parameters for X .

$\mathcal{F}(X)$ is the collection of all the interval valued fuzzy subsets of X , and A is a soft interval valued fuzzy set over U , where F is a mapping provided by $F: A \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X)$. $A_{m \times n} = [a_{ij}]_{m \times n}$, $i=1, 2, \dots, m$,



International Journal of Multidisciplinary Engineering in Current Research

Volume 6, Issue 5, May 2021, <http://ijmec.com/>

$j=1,2,3,\dots,n$, is the matrix representation of the interval-valued fuzzy soft set.

If $e_j \in A$, then $[L(a_i), U(a_i)]$

A_{ij} Equals j in this case.

The membership value is $[L(a_i), U(a_i)]$.

$[0,0]$, otherwise j

Soft fuzzily intuitive matrices:

E is the set of parameters defined by $E=e_1, e_2, e_3, \dots, e_n$, and let's say that X is a universal set of a_1, a_2, a_3 , and so on.

An intuitionistic fuzzy soft set over U is defined as $A = E$, where F is a mapping provided by $F: A \rightarrow U$. This may be represented in matrix form as $A_{m \times n} = [a_{ij}]$ $M \times N$, where A is an array of integers 1, 2, 3, etc.

In this case, $[a_{ij} \mid v_j \mid a_i]$

In the case when $a_{ij} = [0,1]$, otherwise and $j(a_i)$ is the membership value and $j(a_i)$ is the membership value,

the benefit of not being a member

Interval valued fuzzy soft matrix with intuitionistic values:

It's worth noting that $E=E_1-E_3-E_4-E_5$ is the collection of parameters for X .

The interval valued intuitionistic fuzzy soft set (F,A) over U has the following value: $A|X$. Let A be greater than or equal to E . $A_{m \times n} = [a_{ij}]$ $m \times n$, where i is 1,2,...,m, and j is 1,2,3,...,n.

There are $[[L(a_i), U(a_i)] \mid L(a_i), U(a_i)]$, in the event that $E_j > A$

A_{ij} is defined as the following:

in addition to the fact that

The alternative is j if $[0,0]$

to represent the value of membership, and $[L(a), U(a)]$ to represent the value of not belonging to membership.

An intuitive fuzzy soft set with a reduced intuitionistic fuzzy set:

In $[0,1]$, the weighted vector $w_1 + w_2 = 1$, and the weighted vector $w_4 = 1$ is termed W . Is a fuzzy soft set (FW,A) over X that is intuitively fuzzy

The reduced intuitionistic fuzzy soft set of the interval valued intuitionistic fuzzy soft set with regard to the weighted vector is defined as $FW(e_j) = a_i, w_1 L(a_i) + w_2 U(a_i), w_3 L(a_i) + w_4 U(a_i)$ for every $e_j \in A$ and $a_i \in X$. An interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy soft matrix may be transformed into a reduced intuitionistic fuzzy soft set by altering the values of w_1, w_2, w_3 , and w_4 . Fuzzy soft intuitionistic reduced matrix.

$W_1 + W_2 = 1, W_3 + W_4 = 1$, and so on. An intuitive fuzzy soft set (FW,A) may thus be reduced in matrix form as $A_{m \times n} = [a_{ij}]$ $m \times n$, where a_{ij} is equal to the reduced intuitionistic fuzzy soft set (FW,A) .

in which $[w_1] = L(a_i) + w_2] = U(a_i)$

$\{j \mid j\}$

$j \mid j$

$[0,1]$, otherwise

The IVIFSM has been added.

Since $B_{m \times n} = [b_{ij}]$ $m \times n$, then $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, and $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$, so $A_{m \times n}$ must be equal to $[a_{ij}]$. As a result, $A+B = [c_{ij}]$ represents the product of A and B . Mathematical expression: $[\max(a_i), \max(a_i)], [\min(v L[a]), v L[a]], [\min(a), \min(a)]$

Subtraction of IVIFSM:

Assuming that $A_{m \times n} = [a_{ij}]$ $m \times n$ is IVIFSM, $B_{m \times n} = [b_{ij}]$ $m \times n$ has $i = 1, 2, \dots, m, j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$ as input, then $A-B = [c_{ij}]$ is used to express the subtraction of A and B . For $m \times n$, it is equal



International Journal of Multidisciplinary Engineering in Current Research

Volume 6, Issue 5, May 2021, <http://ijmec.com/>

to a combination of $[\min(a_i), a_i]$ and $[\max(v, L, \max(u, u_i))]$ plus $m \times n$, which is equal to the sum of $[\min L, \max U]$.

If $A_{m \times n} = [a_{ij}]$ $m \times n$ is IVIFSM, then $B_{m \times n} = [b_{ij}]$ $m \times n$, where $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, and $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$, is the product of IVIFSM. Then $A * B = [c_{ij}]$ is the product of A and B. In other words, $m \times n = [\max_{\min} L(a_i), L(a_i)], [\min_{\max} L(a_i), L(a)], [\min_{\max} L(a), L(a)], [\min_{\max} U(a), U(a)]$ IVIFSM's companion:

There is an IVIFSM if $A_{m \times n} = [a_{ij}] \dots m \times n$ and $B_{m \times n} = [b_{ij}]$ $m \times n$.

Then there's $[c]$, which stands for the antithesis of A and B.

If c is equal to $([1 - U(a), [1 - L(a)]]$, then

Value Matrix:

2. Assuming $A_{m \times n} = [a_{ij}]$ $m \times n$ is IVIFSM, then $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, and $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, and so on till $n = m$. As a result, the reduced IFSM value matrix is specified as $V[c_{ij}] = ([a_i] - v_j)$

3. **Interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy soft matrix for decision making:**

Give three experts' views in the first step of this algorithm. For a certain sequence of steps, P is equal to There are five digits in the D model: 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5. Using Interval-Valued Intuitionistic Fuzzy Soft Matrices, $S = s_1 - s_5$ is represented (IVIFSM).

It's easy to see how $[a_{ij}]$ might be interpreted as the following:

Step 2: The reduced intuitionistic fuzzy soft matrix is obtained by taking the maximum and lowest values of membership and non-membership values.

A_{ij} 's $[a_{ij}]$ is the sum of $(\max [a_{ij}L(a_i)], a_{ij}U(a_i))$ and $(\min [a_{ij}L(a_i)])$.

Compute the inverse of IVIFSM for the set of values $P = (P_1, P_2, P_3)$ $[b_{ij}]$ the product of these

two terms is equal to the product of these two terms, and the product of these two terms is equal to the product of these two terms, and the product of these two terms is equal to the product of these two terms.

Step 4: Reduce the IFSM by taking the values from Step 2 and finding the reduced IFSM

To put it another way, $[b_{ij}]_c = (\max [1 - jU(a_i), 1 - jL(a_i)], \text{ and } (\min [1 - jU(a_i)])$

Step 5: Once these matrices have been constructed, we may begin the process of adding them to the original intuitionistic fuzzy soft matrix and its counterpart. $[c_{ij}]_c = (\max [(No \text{ matter how many times you've tried, you can't get it to work. You have to keep trying until you get it to work.}$

For the previous step, locate the value matrix., Assume that $v_j A(a_i)$ is less than or equal to $V[c_{ij}]$. The formula for calculating B is $[1 - jA(a_i)] - V[c_{ij}]_c = B$ In the first place, $[1 - jA(a_i)]$ Using the formula $S = V[c_{ij}] - V[c_{ij}]_c \Rightarrow A - B$, calculate the score matrix.

Let $X = a_1, a_2, a_3 \dots a_m$ be the universal set and $E = e_1, e_2, e_3 \dots e_n$ be the set of parameters. Set (A, E, F, A) to a fuzzier, softer value (X, E) . Assuming $j=1, 2, \dots, m$ and $i=1, 2, \dots, m$, we may write $A_{m \times n} = [a_{ij}]_{m \times n}$ as the fuzzy soft matrix form of (F, A) .

In this case, $a_{ij} =$

$E_j = A_i$, and so forth.

otherwise, and the fuzzy set membership of a_i is denoted by $j(a_i)$.

$F(e_j)$.

Soft matrix with interval-valued intervals:

It's worth noting that $E=E_1-E_3-E_4-E_5$ is the collection of parameters for X.



International Journal of Multidisciplinary Engineering in Current Research

Volume 6, Issue 5, May 2021, <http://ijmec.com/>

I_X is the collection of all the interval valued fuzzy subsets of X , and A is a soft interval valued fuzzy set over U , where F is a mapping provided by $F: A \rightarrow I_X$. $A_{m \times n} = [a_{ij}]_{m \times n}$, $i=1,2,\dots,m$, $j=1,2,3,\dots,n$, is the matrix representation of the interval-valued fuzzy soft set.

If $e_j \in A$, then $[L(a_i), U(a_i)]$

A_{ij} Equals J_j in this case.

The membership value is $[L(a_i), U(a_i)]$.

$[0,0]$, otherwise J_j

Soft fuzzily intuitive matrices:

E is the set of parameters defined by $E = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, \dots, e_n\}$, and let's say that X is a universal set of a_1, a_2, a_3 , and so on.

An intuitionistic fuzzy soft set over U is defined as $A = (F, A)$, where F is a mapping provided by $F: A \rightarrow I_U$. This may be represented in matrix form as $A_{m \times n} = [a_{ij}]_{m \times n}$, where A is an array of integers 1, 2, 3, etc.

In this case, $[a_i, v_j a_i]$

In the case when $a_{ij} = [0,1]$, otherwise and $j(a_i)$ is the membership value and $j(a_i)$ is the membership value,

the benefit of not being a member

Interval valued fuzzy soft matrix with intuitionistic values:

It's worth noting that $E = \{E_1, E_2, E_3, E_4, E_5\}$ is the collection of parameters for X .

The interval valued intuitionistic fuzzy soft set (F, A) over U has the following value: $A \rightarrow I_X$. Let A be greater than or equal to E . $A_{m \times n} = [a_{ij}]_{m \times n}$, where i is 1, 2, ..., m , and j is 1, 2, 3, ..., n .

There are $[[L(a_i), U(a_i)], [L(a_i), U(a_i)]]$, in the event that $E_j > A$

A_{ij} is defined as the following:

in addition to the fact that

The alternative is J_j if $[0,0]$

to represent the value of membership, and $[L(a), U(a)]$ to represent the value of not belonging to membership.

An intuitive fuzzy soft set with a reduced intuitionistic fuzzy set:

In $[0,1]$, the weighted vector $w_1 + w_2 = 1$, and the weighted vector $w_4 = 1$ is termed W . Is a fuzzy soft set (FW, A) over X that is intuitively fuzzy

The reduced intuitionistic fuzzy soft set of the interval valued intuitionistic fuzzy soft set with regard to the weighted vector is defined as $F_w(e_j) = a_i, w L(a_i) + w U(a_i)$, $w L(a_i) + w_4 U(a_i)$ for every $e_j \in A$ and $a_i \in X$. An interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy soft matrix may be transformed into a reduced intuitionistic fuzzy soft set by altering the values of w_1, w_2, w_3 , and w_4 . Fuzzy soft intuitionistic reduced matrix.

$W_1 + W_2 = 1$, $W_3 + W_4 = 1$, and so on. An intuitive fuzzy soft set (FW, A) may thus be reduced in matrix form as $A_{m \times n} = [a_{ij}]_{m \times n}$, where a_{ij} is equal to the reduced intuitionistic fuzzy soft set (FW, A) .

in which $[w_1] = L(a_i) + w_2] = U(a_i)$

$\{J_j\}$

J_j

$[0,1]$, otherwise

The IVIFSM has been added.

Since $B_{m \times n} = [b_{ij}]_{m \times n}$, then $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, and $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$, so $A_{m \times n}$ must be equal to $[a_{ij}]$. As a result, $A+B = [c_{ij}]$ represents the product of A and B . Mathematical expression: $[\max(a_i), \max(a_i)], [\min(v L[a]), v L[a]], [\min(a), \min(a)]$

Subtraction of IVIFSM:

Assuming that $A_{m \times n} = [a_{ij}]_{m \times n}$ is IVIFSM, $B_{m \times n} = [b_{ij}]_{m \times n}$ has $i = 1, 2, \dots, m, j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$ as input, then $A-B = [c_{ij}]$ is used to express the subtraction of A and B . For $m \times n$, it is equal



International Journal of Multidisciplinary Engineering in Current Research

Volume 6, Issue 5, May 2021, <http://ijmec.com/>

to a combination of $[\min(a_i), a_i]$ and $[\max(v, L, \max(u, u_i))]$ plus $m \times n$, which is equal to the sum of $[\min L, \max U]$.

If $A_{m \times n} = [a_{ij}]$ $m \times n$ is IVIFSM, then $B_{m \times n} = [b_{ij}]$ $m \times n$, where $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, and $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$, is the product of IVIFSM. Then $A * B = [c_{ij}]$ is the product of A and B. In other words, $m \times n = [\max \min L(a_i), L(a_i)], [\min \max L(a_i), L(a)], [\min \max L(a), L(a)], [\min \max U(a), U(a)]$ IVIFSM's companion:

There is an IVIFSM if $A_{m \times n} = [a_{ij}] \dots m \times n$ and $B_{m \times n} = [b_{ij}]$ $m \times n$.

Then there's $[c]$, which stands for the antithesis of A and B.

If c is equal to $([1 - U(a), [1 - L(a)]])$, then

Value Matrix:

2. Assuming $A_{m \times n} = [a_{ij}]$ $m \times n$ is IVIFSM, then $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, and $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, and so on till $n = m$. As a result, the reduced IFSM value matrix is specified as $V[c_{ij}] = ([a_i] - v_j)$

3. Interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy soft matrix for decision making:

Give three experts' views in the first step of this algorithm. For a certain sequence of steps, P is equal to There are five digits in the D model: 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5. Using Interval-Valued Intuitionistic Fuzzy Soft Matrices, $S = s_1 - s_5$ is represented (IVIFSM).

It's easy to see how $[a_{ij}]$ might be interpreted as the following:

Step 2: The reduced intuitionistic fuzzy soft matrix is obtained by taking the maximum and lowest values of membership and non-membership values.

A_{ij} 's $[a_{ij}]$ is the sum of $(\max [a_{ij}L(a_i)], a_{ij}U(a_i))$ and $(\min [a_{ij}L(a_i)])$.

Compute the inverse of IVIFSM for the set of values $P = (P_1, P_2, P_3)$ $[b_{ij}]$ the product of these two terms is equal to the product of these two

terms, and the product of these two terms is equal to the product of these two terms, and the product of these two terms is equal to the product of these two terms.

Step 4: Reduce the IFSM by taking the values from Step 2 and finding the reduced IFSM

To put it another way, $[b_{ij}]c = (\max [1 - jU(a_i), 1 - jL(a_i)], \text{ and } (\min [1 - jU(a_i)])$

Step 5: Once these matrices have been constructed, we may begin the process of adding them to the original intuitionistic fuzzy soft matrix and its counterpart. $[c_{ij}]c = (\max [(No \text{ matter how many times you've tried, you can't get it to work. You have to keep trying until you get it to work.}$

For the previous step, locate the value matrix., Assume that $v_jA(a_i)$ is less than or equal to $V[c_{ij}]$. The formula for calculating B is $[1 - jA(a_i)] - V[c_{ij}]c = B$ In the first place, $[1 - jA(a_i)]$ Using the formula $S = V[c_{ij}] - V[c_{ij}]c \Rightarrow A - B$, calculate the score matrix.

When comparing the results, add weights $W = [w_1, [w_2, [w_3, \text{ and so forth}]$ for interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy soft matrix to construct reduced IFSM, $[w_{ij}] = ([w_v L(a_i) + W [u_i] + w [u_i]])$ Step 10: Assign a weight to IVIFSM to get the set's complement.

When calculating $[w_{ij}]c$, you must use the formula $([w_1(1 - U(a_i)) + ([w_2(1 - L(a_i))])$

$W_3(a_i) = (U_{ai}+1) W_4(a_i+1))$

Step 11: It is the same from step 5 to step 8 when determining the IVIFSM weight calculation solution. Example:

Consider [15] as an example.

Intuitionistic Fuzzy Soft Matrices for the sets P = p₁, p₂, and P₃ are shown in the following manner.



International Journal of Multidisciplinary Engineering in Current Research

Volume 6, Issue 5, May 2021, <http://ijmec.com/>

$((0.3,0.7),) ((0.3,0.4),) ((0.5,0.6),) ((0.5,0.6),)$
 $((0.0,0.0),)$
 $(0.1,0.2) (0.4,0.5) (0.2,0.4)$
 $(0.2,0.4) (0.0,0.0)$
 $((0.4,0.5),) ((0.3,0.7),) ((0.3,0.6),) ($
 $(0.3,0.7),) ((0.0,0.0),)$
 $(0.3,0.5) (0.2,0.3) (0.1,0.3)$
 $(0.1,0.2) (0.0,0.0)$
 $(0.3,0.6), (0.4,0.6), (0.4,0.6),$
 $(0.4,0.6), (0.0,0.0),$
 $P1 = ((0.3,0.4)) ((0.2,0.3)) ((0.2,0.4)) ($
 $(0.2,0.4)) ((0.0,0.0))$
 $(0.4,0.8), (0.2,0.5), (0.2,0.5),$
 $(0.2,0.5), (0.0,0.0),$
 $((0.1,0.2)) ((0.3,0.4)) ((0.3,0.4)) ((0.3,0.4)$
 $((0.0,0.0))$
 $((0.3,0.5),) ((0.2,0.3),) ((0.2,0.3),) ((0.3,0.4),$
 $((0.0,0.0),)$
 $(0.2,0.4) (0.4,0.7) (0.5,0.6)$
 $(0.4,0.5) (0.0,0.0)$
 $((0.3,0.5),) ((0.5,0.6),) ((0.2,0.5),) ((0.0,0.0),$
 $(0.7,0.1) (0.4,0.4) (0.6,0.2) (0.6,0.2) (0.0,0.0)$
 $(0.5,0.3) (0.7,0.2) (0.6,0.1) (0.7,0.1) (0.0,0.0)$
 $(0.6,0.3) (0.6,0.2) (0.6,0.2) (0.6,0.2) (0.0,0.0)$
 $(0.8,0.1) (0.5,0.3) (0.5,0.3) (0.5,0.3) (0.0,0.0)$
 $h(0.5,0.2) (0.3,0.4) (0.3,0.5) (0.4,0.4) (0.0,0.0)/$
 $(0.5,0.3) (0.6,0.3) (0.5,0.3) (0.0,0.0) (0.7,0.2)$
 $(0.5,0.3) (0.7,0.2) (0.5,0.2) (0.0,0.0) (0.5,0.3)$
 $(0.6,0.3) (0.8,0.1) (0.4,0.3) (0.0,0.0) (0.6,0.2)$
 $(0.6,0.1) (0.7,0.1) (0.6,0.2) (0.0,0.0) (0.6,0.3)$
 $h(0.5,0.2) (0.3,0.4) (0.7,0.1) (0.0,0.0) (0.5,0.3)/$
 $(0.5,0.3) (0.7,0.1) (0.0,0.0) (0.6,0.3) (0.4,0.5)$
 $(0.7,0.2) (0.5,0.3) (0.0,0.0) (0.8,0.1) (0.8,0.1)$
 $((0.5,0.7),)$

$(0.3,0.4) (0.3,0.4) (0.3,0.4)$
 $(0.0,0.0) (0.2,0.3)$
 $((0.4,0.5),) ((0.4,0.7),) ((0.3,0.5),) ($
 $(0.0,0.0),) ((0.4,0.5),)$
 $(0.3,0.5) (0.2,0.3) (0.2,0.3)$
 $(0.0,0.0) (0.3,0.4)$
 $(0.3,0.6), (0.7,0.8), (0.2,0.4),$
 $(0.0,0.0), (0.4,0.6),$
 $P2 = ((0.3,0.4)) ((0.1,0.2)) ((0.3,0.5)) ($
 $(0.0,0.0)) ((0.2,0.4))$
 $(0.2,0.6), (0.5,0.7), (0.3,0.6),$
 $(0.0,0.0), (0.5,0.6),$
 $((0.1,0.2)) ((0.1,0.2)) ((0.2,0.3)) ((0.0,0.0)$
 $((0.3,0.4))$
 $((0.4,0.5),) ((0.2,0.3),) ((0.4,0.7),) ((0.0,0.0),$
 $((0.4,0.5),)$
 $(0.2,0.4) (0.4,0.5) (0.1,0.3)$
 $(0.0,0.0) (0.3,0.4)$
 $((0.3,0.5),) ((0.2,0.7),) ((0.0,0.0),) ((0.3,0.6),$
 $((0.2,0.4),)$
 $(0.3,0.4) (0.1,0.3) (0.0,0.0)$
 $(0.3,0.4) (0.5,0.6)$
 $((0.3,0.7),) ((0.3,0.5),) ((0.0,0.0),) ($
 $(0.4,0.8),) ((0.7,0.8),)$
 $(0.2,0.3) (0.3,0.5) (0.0,0.0)$
 $(0.1,0.2) (0.1,0.2)$
 $(0.5,0.7), (0.5,0.6), (0.0,0.0),$
 $(0.5,0.6), (0.4,0.6),$
 $P3 = ((0.1,0.3)) ((0.3,0.4)) ((0.0,0.0)) ($
 $(0.3,0.4)) ((0.3,0.4))$
 $(0.4,0.6), (0.4,0.5), (0.0,0.0),$
 $(0.3,0.7), (0.3,0.4),$
 $((0.2,0.3)) ((0.3,0.4)) ((0.0,0.0)) ((0.2,0.3)$
 $((0.4,0.5))$
 $((0.1,0.2),) ((0.4,0.6),) ((0.0,0.0),) ((0.2,0.3),$
 $((0.1,0.4),)$



International Journal of Multidisciplinary Engineering in Current Research

Volume 6, Issue 5, May 2021, <http://ijmec.com/>

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (0.5,0.7) & (0.3,0.4) & (0.0,0.0) \\
 (0.5,0.6) & (0.4,0.5) & /
 \end{array}$$

Next by taking the maximum and minimum of membership and non-membership values from the above matrices,

P1 =

P2 =

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 P_3 = & (0.7,0.1) & (0.6,0.3) & (0.0,0.0) \\
 & (0.6,0.3) & (0.6,0.3) & /
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (0.6,0.2) & (0.5,0.3) \\
 (0.0,0.0) & (0.7,0.2) \\
 (0.4,0.4) & /
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (0.2,0.5) & (0.6,0.3) \\
 (0.0,0.0) & (0.3,0.5) \\
 (0.4,0.4) & /
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
 P_3 = & (0.7,0.1) & (0.6,0.3) & (0.0,0.0) & (0.6,0.3) \\
 & (0.6,0.3) & / & & \\
 & (0.6,0.2) & (0.5,0.3) & (0.0,0.0) & (0.7,0.2) & (0.4,0.4) \\
 & (0.2,0.5) & (0.6,0.3) & (0.0,0.0) & (0.3,0.5) & (0.4,0.4) & /
 \end{array}$$

Now, taking the complement of IVIFSM

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 ((0.3,0.7),) & ((0.6,0.7),) & ((0.4,0.5),) & ((0.4,0.5),) \\
 ((1.0,1.0),) & / & & \\
 (0.8,0.9) & (0.5,0.6) & (0.6,0.8) \\
 (0.6,0.8) & (1.0,1.0) & /
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 ((0.5,0.6),) & ((0.3,0.7),) & ((0.4,0.7),) & ((0.3,0.7),) & ((1.0,1.0),) \\
 ((0.5,0.7),) & ((0.7,0.8),) & ((0.7,0.9),) & ((0.8,0.9),) & ((1.0,1.0),) & /
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
 P & & C & = \\
 (0.4,0.7),(0.4,0.6),(0.4,0.6),(0.4,0.6),(1.0,1.0), & & &
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 1 & ((0.6,0.7),) & ((0.7,0.8),) & ((0.6,0.8),) & ((0.6,0.8),) & ((1.0,1.0),) \\
 (0.6,0.8), & (1.0,1.0), & / & & & \\
 (0.2,0.6), & (0.5,0.8), & (0.5,0.8), & (1.0,1.0), & / & \\
 ((0.8,0.9),) & ((0.6,0.7),) & ((0.6,0.7),) & ((0.6,0.7),) & ((1.0,1.0),) & /
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 ((0.5,0.7),) & ((0.7,0.8),) & ((0.7,0.8),) & ((0.6,0.7),) & ((1.0,1.0),) \\
 (0.6,0.8) & (0.3,0.6) & (0.4,0.5) & (0.5,0.6) & (1.0,1.0) & /
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 ((0.5,0.7),) & ((0.4,0.5),) & ((0.5,0.8),) & ((1.0,1.0),) \\
 ((0.3,0.5),) & / & & \\
 (0.6,0.7) & (0.6,0.7) & (0.6,0.7) & (1.0,1.0) & (0.7,0.8) & /
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 ((0.5,0.6),) & ((0.3,0.6),) & ((0.5,0.7),) & ((1.0,1.0),) & ((0.5,0.6),) & / \\
 (0.5,0.7) & (0.7,0.8) & (0.7,0.8) & (1.0,1.0) & (0.6,0.7) & /
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
 P & & C & = \\
 (0.4,0.7),(0.2,0.3),(0.6,0.8),(1.0,1.0),(0.4,0.6), & & & \\
 2 & ((0.6,0.7),) & ((0.8,0.9),) & ((0.5,0.7),) & ((1.0,1.0),) & ((0.6,0.8),) & / \\
 (0.4,0.8), & (0.3,0.5), & (0.4,0.7), & (1.0,1.0), & (0.4,0.5), & / \\
 ((0.8,0.9),) & ((0.8,0.9),) & ((0.7,0.8),) & ((1.0,1.0),) & ((0.6,0.7),) & / \\
 ((0.5,0.6),) & ((0.7,0.8),) & ((0.3,0.6),) & ((1.0,1.0),) & ((0.5,0.6),) & / \\
 (0.6,0.8) & (0.5,0.6) & (0.7,0.9) & (1.0,1.0) & (0.6,0.7) & /
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 ((0.5,0.7),) & ((0.3,0.8),) & ((1.0,1.0),) & ((0.4,0.7),) & ((0.6,0.8),) & / \\
 (0.6,0.7) & (0.7,0.9) & (1.0,1.0) & (0.6,0.7) & (0.4,0.5) & /
 \end{array}$$



International Journal of Multidisciplinary Engineering in Current Research

Volume 6, Issue 5, May 2021, <http://ijmec.com/>

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \begin{array}{cccc} (0.3,0.7) & (0.5,0.7) & (1.0,1.0) & (0.2,0.6) \\ (0.2,0.6) & (0.2,0.3) & (0.7,0.8) & (0.5,0.7) \\ (0.8,0.9) & (0.8,0.9) & (1.0,1.0) & (0.3,0.5) \end{array} \right| \\
 & \left| \begin{array}{cccc} (0.7,0.9) & (0.6,0.7) & (1.0,1.0) & (0.6,0.7) \\ (0.4,0.6) & (0.5,0.6) & (1.0,1.0) & (0.3,0.7) \\ (0.7,0.8) & (0.6,0.7) & (1.0,1.0) & (0.7,0.8) \\ (0.5,0.6) & (0.8,0.9) & (0.4,0.6) & (1.0,1.0) \end{array} \right| \\
 & \left| \begin{array}{cccc} (0.3,0.5) & (0.4,0.5) & (1.0,1.0) & (0.4,0.5) \\ (0.4,0.5) & (0.5,0.6) & (1.0,1.0) & (0.7,0.8) \end{array} \right|
 \end{aligned}$$

Take the maximum and minimum values,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \begin{array}{ccccc} (0.7,0.8) & (0.7,0.5) & (0.5,0.6) & (0.5,0.6) & (1.0,1.0) \\ (0.6,0.5) & (0.7,0.7) & (0.7,0.7) & (0.7,0.8) & (1.0,1.0) \\ (0.7,0.6) & (0.6,0.7) & (0.6,0.6) & (0.6,0.6) & (1.0,1.0) \\ (0.6,0.8) & (0.8,0.6) & (0.8,0.6) & (0.8,0.6) & (1.0,1.0) \\ (0.7,0.6) & (0.5,0.6) & (0.8,0.6) & (1.0,1.0) & (0.5,0.7) \\ (0.6,0.5) & (0.6,0.7) & (0.7,0.7) & (1.0,1.0) & (0.6,0.6) \\ (0.7,0.6) & (0.3,0.8) & (0.8,0.5) & (1.0,1.0) & (0.6,0.6) \\ (0.8,0.8) & (0.5,0.8) & (0.7,0.7) & (1.0,1.0) & (0.5,0.6) \end{array} \right| \\
 & \left| \begin{array}{ccccc} (0.7,0.6) & (0.8,0.7) & (1.0,1.0) & (0.7,0.6) & (0.8,0.4) \\ (0.7,0.7) & (0.7,0.5) & (1.0,1.0) & (0.6,0.8) & (0.3,0.8) \end{array} \right|
 \end{aligned}$$

P1C =

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \begin{array}{cccc} (0.5,0.7) & (0.5,0.6) & (1.0,1.0) & (0.5,0.6) \\ (0.6,0.6) & (0.6,0.7) & (0.6,0.6) & (1.0,1.0) \\ (0.7,0.5) & (0.9,0.3) & (0.6,0.6) & (1.0,1.0) \\ (0.9,0.5) & (0.9,0.5) & (0.6,0.6) & (1.0,1.0) \end{array} \right| \\
 & P3C = \left| \begin{array}{cccc} (0.5,0.7) & (0.5,0.6) & (1.0,1.0) & (0.5,0.6) \\ (0.6,0.6) & (0.6,0.7) & (0.6,0.6) & (1.0,1.0) \\ (0.7,0.5) & (0.9,0.3) & (0.6,0.6) & (1.0,1.0) \\ (0.9,0.5) & (0.9,0.5) & (0.6,0.6) & (1.0,1.0) \end{array} \right|
 \end{aligned}$$

Finding the addition matrices for the above reduced IFSM,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \begin{array}{ccccc} (0.7,0.1) & (0.7,0.1) & (0.6,0.2) & (0.6,0.2) & (0.7,0.2) \\ (0.7,0.2) & (0.7,0.2) & (0.6,0.1) & (0.8,0.1) & (0.8,0.1) \\ (0.7,0.1) & (0.8,0.1) & (0.6,0.2) & (0.6,0.2) & (0.7,0.2) \\ (0.8,0.1) & (0.7,0.1) & (0.6,0.2) & (0.7,0.2) & (0.6,0.3) \\ (0.5,0.2) & (0.6,0.3) & (0.7,0.1) & (0.4,0.4) & (0.5,0.3) \end{array} \right| \\
 & \left| \begin{array}{ccccc} (0.7,0.6) & (0.8,0.5) & (0.8,0.6) & (0.7,0.6) & (0.8,0.4) \\ (0.7,0.5) & (0.7,0.5) & (0.7,0.7) & (0.7,0.8) & (0.6,0.6) \\ (0.7,0.6) & (0.8,0.5) & (0.8,0.6) & (0.7,0.6) & (0.8,0.4) \\ (0.7,0.5) & (0.7,0.5) & (0.7,0.7) & (0.7,0.8) & (0.6,0.6) \end{array} \right| \\
 & (P1C + P2C + P3C) = \left| \begin{array}{ccccc} (0.7,0.6) & (0.6,0.6) & (0.8,0.5) & (0.6,0.6) & (0.6,0.6) \\ (0.8,0.7) & (0.8,0.6) & (0.8,0.6) & (0.8,0.6) & (0.7,0.5) \\ (0.9,0.3) & (0.8,0.3) & (0.8,0.4) & (0.8,0.4) & (0.9,0.5) \end{array} \right|
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, to find the Value Matrix

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \begin{array}{ccccc} 0.6 & 0.6 & 0.4 & 0.4 & 0.5 \\ 0.5 & 0.5 & 0.5 & 0.7 & 0.7 \\ 0.6 & 0.7 & 0.4 & 0.4 & 0.4 \\ 0.7 & 0.6 & 0.4 & 0.5 & 0.3 \\ 0.3 & 0.3 & 0.6 & 0.0 & 0.2 \end{array} \right| \\
 & V(P1 + P2 + P3) =
 \end{aligned}$$



International Journal of Multidisciplinary Engineering in Current Research

Volume 6, Issue 5, May 2021, <http://ijmec.com/>

$$\begin{aligned}
 &0.1 \quad 0.3 \quad 0.2 \quad 0.1 \quad 0.4 \\
 &\left(\begin{array}{ccccc} (0.3,0.2) & (0.3,0.5) & (0.5,0.4) & (0.5,0.4) & (0.0,0.0) \\ (0.4,0.5) & (0.3,0.3) & (0.3,0.3) & (0.3,0.2) & (0.0,0.0) \\ (0.3,0.4) & (0.4,0.3) & (0.4,0.4) & (0.4,0.4) & (0.0,0.0) \\ (0.4,0.2) & (0.2,0.4) & (0.2,0.4) & (0.2,0.4) & (0.0,0.0) \end{array} \right) \\
 &h(0.3,0.4) \quad (0.2,0.7) \quad (0.2,0.6) \quad (0.3,0.5) \quad (0.0,0.0) / \\
 &\left(\begin{array}{ccccc} (0.3,0.4) & (0.5,0.4) & (0.2,0.4) & (0.0,0.0) & (0.5,0.3) \\ (0.4,0.5) & (0.4,0.3) & (0.3,0.3) & (0.0,0.0) & (0.4,0.4) \\ (0.3,0.4) & (0.7,0.2) & (0.2,0.5) & (0.0,0.0) & (0.4,0.4) \\ (0.2,0.2) & (0.5,0.2) & (0.3,0.3) & (0.0,0.0) & (0.5,0.4) \end{array} \right) \\
 &h(0.4,0.4) \quad (0.2,0.5) \quad (0.4,0.3) \quad (0.0,0.0) \quad (0.4,0.4) / \\
 &\left(\begin{array}{ccccc} (0.3,0.4) & (0.2,0.3) & (0.0,0.0) & (0.3,0.4) & (0.2,0.6) \\ (0.3,0.3) & (0.3,0.5) & (0.0,0.0) & (0.4,0.2) & (0.7,0.2) \end{array} \right) \\
 &\left(\begin{array}{ccccc} 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.0 & -0.1 & 0.0 \\ 0.1 & 0.0 & 0.3 & 0.0 & 0.0 \end{array} \right) \\
 &V(P1C + P2C + P3C) = \left(\begin{array}{ccccc} 0.1 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 \\ 0.6 & 0.5 & 0.4 & 0.4 & 0.4 \end{array} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

Score Matrix,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &0.5 \quad 0.3 \quad 0.2 \quad 0.3 \quad 0.1 \\
 &\left(\begin{array}{ccccc} 0.3 & 0.3 & 0.5 & 0.8 & 0.7 \\ 0.5 & 0.7 & 0.1 & 0.4 & 0.4 \\ 0.6 & 0.4 & 0.2 & 0.3 & 0.1 \end{array} \right) \\
 &h -0.3 \quad -0.2 \quad 0.2 \quad -0.4 \quad -0.2
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Total of Score Matrix, } 1.4 S^* = \left(\begin{array}{ccccc} 2.6 & 2.1 & 1.6h-0.9 \end{array} \right)$$

Result:

Since the score of d2 is maximum, the patient under consideration belongs to

Stage II, (i.e.), initial stage of heart disease as per the collective opinions of the group of experts.

To find the Reduced IFSM by assigning the weight of membership and non-membership values as $w_1 = 1$, $w_2 = 0$, $w_3 = 0$, $w_4 = 1$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &P1 = \\
 &P2 = \left(\begin{array}{ccccc} (0.5,0.3) & (0.5,0.4) & (0.0,0.0) & (0.5,0.4) & (0.4,0.4) \\ (0.4,0.3) & (0.4,0.4) & (0.0,0.0) & (0.3,0.3) & (0.3,0.5) \\ (0.1,0.7) & (0.4,0.4) & (0.0,0.0) & (0.2,0.6) & (0.1,0.5) \end{array} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly assigning the same weight to complement of IVIFSM,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left(\begin{array}{ccccc} (0.3,0.9) & (0.6,0.6) & (0.4,0.8) & (0.4,0.8) & (1.0,1.0) \\ (0.5,0.7) & (0.3,0.8) & (0.4,0.9) & (0.3,0.9) & (1.0,1.0) \\ (0.4,0.7) & (0.4,0.8) & (0.4,0.8) & (0.4,0.8) & (1.0,1.0) \\ (0.2,0.9) & (0.5,0.7) & (0.5,0.7) & (0.5,0.7) & (1.0,1.0) \end{array} \right) \\
 &h(0.5,0.8) \quad (0.7,0.6) \quad (0.7,0.5) \quad (0.6,0.6) \quad (1.0,1.0) / \\
 &\left(\begin{array}{ccccc} (0.5,0.7) & (0.4,0.7) & (0.5,0.7) & (1.0,1.0) & (0.3,0.8) \\ (0.5,0.7) & (0.3,0.8) & (0.5,0.8) & (1.0,1.0) & (0.5,0.7) \\ (0.4,0.7) & (0.2,0.9) & (0.6,0.7) & (1.0,1.0) & (0.4,0.8) \\ (0.4,0.9) & (0.3,0.9) & (0.4,0.8) & (1.0,1.0) & (0.4,0.7) \end{array} \right) \\
 &h(0.5,0.8) \quad (0.7,0.6) \quad (0.3,0.9) \quad (1.0,1.0) \quad (0.5,0.7) / \\
 &\left(\begin{array}{ccccc} (0.5,0.7) & (0.3,0.9) & (1.0,1.0) & (0.4,0.7) & (0.6,0.5) \\ (0.3,0.8) & (0.5,0.7) & (1.0,1.0) & (0.2,0.9) & (0.2,0.9) \\ (0.3,0.9) & (0.4,0.7) & (1.0,1.0) & (0.4,0.7) & (0.4,0.7) \\ (0.4,0.8) & (0.5,0.7) & (1.0,1.0) & (0.3,0.8) & (0.6,0.6) \\ (0.8,0.5) & (0.4,0.7) & (1.0,1.0) & (0.7,0.5) & (0.6,0.6) \end{array} \right) \\
 &\text{Finding the addition matrices for the above reduced IFSM,} \\
 &\left(\begin{array}{ccccc} (0.3,0.2) & (0.5,0.3) & (0.5,0.4) & (0.5,0.4) & (0.5,0.3) \\ (0.4,0.3) & (0.4,0.3) & (0.3,0.3) & (0.4,0.2) & (0.7,0.2) \end{array} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$



International Journal of Multidisciplinary Engineering in Current Research

Volume 6, Issue 5, May 2021, <http://ijmec.com/>

$$\begin{aligned}
 (P1 + P2 + P3) = & \begin{pmatrix} (0.5,0.3) & (0.7,0.2) & (0.4,0.4) \\ (0.5,0.4) & (0.4,0.4) & \\ (0.4,0.2) & (0.5,0.2) & (0.3,0.3) & (0.3,0.3) & (0.5,0.4) \\ (0.4,0.4) & (0.4,0.4) & (0.4,0.3) & (0.3,0.5) & (0.4,0.4) \\ (0.5,0.7) & (0.6,0.6) & (0.5,0.7) & (0.4,0.7) & (0.6,0.5) \\ (0.5,0.7) & (0.5,0.7) & (0.5,0.8) & (0.3,0.9) & (0.5,0.7) \\ (0.4,0.7) & (0.4,0.7) & (0.6,0.7) \\ (0.4,0.7) & (0.4,0.7) & \\ (0.4,0.8) & (0.5,0.7) & (0.5,0.7) & (0.5,0.7) & (0.6,0.6) \\ (0.8,0.5) & (0.7,0.6) & (0.7,0.5) & (0.7,0.5) & (0.6,0.6) \end{pmatrix} \\
 (P1C + P2C + P3C) = & \begin{pmatrix} (0.4,0.7) & (0.4,0.7) & (0.6,0.7) \\ (0.4,0.7) & (0.4,0.7) & \\ (0.4,0.8) & (0.5,0.7) & (0.5,0.7) & (0.5,0.7) & (0.6,0.6) \\ (0.8,0.5) & (0.7,0.6) & (0.7,0.5) & (0.7,0.5) & (0.6,0.6) \end{pmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

Value matrix are as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}
 V(P1 + P2 + P3) = & \begin{pmatrix} 0.1 & 0.2 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.2 \\ 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.0 & 0.2 & 0.5 \\ 0.0 & 0.2 & 0.5 & 0.0 & 0.1 \\ 0.2 & 0.3 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.1 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.1 & -0.2 & 0.0 \\ -0.2 & 0.0 & -0.2 & -0.3 & 0.1 \\ -0.2 & -0.2 & -0.3 & -0.6 & -0.2 \\ -0.3 & -0.3 & -0.3 & -0.1 & -0.1 \\ -0.4 & -0.2 & -0.2 & -0.2 & 0.0 \\ 0.3 & 0.1 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.0 \\ 0.3 & 0.2 & 0.3 & 0.4 & 0.1 \\ 0.3 & 0.3 & 0.3 & 0.8 & 0.7 \\ 0.5 & 0.8 & 0.1 & 0.4 & 0.3 \\ 0.6 & 0.5 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.1 \\ 0.3 & -0.1 & -0.1 & -0.4 & 0.0 \end{pmatrix} \\
 V(P1C + P2C + P3C) = & \begin{pmatrix} -0.3 & -0.3 & -0.1 & -0.3 & -0.3 \\ -0.4 & -0.2 & -0.2 & -0.2 & 0.0 \\ 0.3 & 0.1 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.0 \\ 0.3 & 0.2 & 0.3 & 0.4 & 0.1 \\ 0.3 & 0.3 & 0.3 & 0.8 & 0.7 \\ 0.5 & 0.8 & 0.1 & 0.4 & 0.3 \\ 0.6 & 0.5 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.1 \\ 0.3 & -0.1 & -0.1 & -0.4 & 0.0 \end{pmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

Total of Score Matrix,
1.h-0.9

Result:

According to the group's collective wisdom, the patient in question, whose d2 score is at its highest, is in Stage II (i.e., the beginning stage of cardiac disease).

Remark:

In the case of medical diagnosis, we have found a superior result utilising our approach than the one in [15]. We may deduce from a comparison of the two outcomes above that they are all the same.

4. Conclusion:

The notion of interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy soft matrix was introduced in this work. For solving decision-making difficulties, a novel and more straightforward technique has been devised that makes use of complement and value matrixes in a simplified IFSM. Furthermore, we were able to solve a medical diagnostic issue utilising our approach and get a superior outcome. If you have an uncertain decision making situation, you might use interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy soft matrix characteristics in your future research.

References:

- [1] Bioscience research bulletin, 19 (2), pp. 121–127 (2003), "An application of intuitionistic fuzzy soft sets in medical diagnostics," B.K. Saikia, P.K. Das and A.K. Borkakati
- [2] Computers and Mathematics with Applications, 37(4-5), (1999), pp.19-31, D. Molodtsov, "Soft set theory."
- [3]
- [4] Group decision making approaches based on intuitionistic fuzzy soft matrices have been proposed by J. Mao, D. Yao, and C. Wang in Applied Mathematical Modelling.
- [5] Jiang, Y Tang, and Q Chen, "An adjustable method to intuitionistic fuzzy soft sets based decision making," Applied Mathematical Modeling, 35(2), (2011), pp. 824–836.
- [6] 'Intuitionistic fuzzy sets' by K. Atanassov, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 20, 1986, 87-



International Journal of Multidisciplinary Engineering in Current Research

Volume 6, Issue 5, May 2021, <http://ijmec.com/>

- [7]K. Atanassov and G. Gargov, 'Interval valued intuitionistic fuzzy sets', Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 3, (1989), pp.343-349, are referenced.
- [8]"Fuzzy sets, Information and Control", 8, (1985), pp.338-353, L.A. Zadeh. [7]
- [9]M. Borah, T. J. Neog, and D K. Sut "Fuzzy Soft Matrix Theory and Decision Making," International Journal of Modern Engineering Research, 2 (2012), 121–127.
- [10] International Journal of Scientific & Technological Research, 3(5): N. Sarala, S. Rajkumari, "Invention of the finest technology in agriculture utilising intuitionistic fuzzy soft matrices" (2014)
- [11] For example, in the Journal of Fuzzy Math 9(3), (2001), pages 589-602, P. K. Maji and R. Biswas and A. R. Roy write, "Fuzzy soft sets."
- [12] Journal of Fuzzy Math 12(3), pp.669-683 (2004): "Intuitionistic Fuzzy soft sets" by P K Maji and R Biswas and A R Roy.
- [13]Interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy soft matrix theory may be used in medical diagnostics, according to P. Rajarajeswari and P. Dhanalakshmi.
- [14] "Introduction of interval valued fuzzy soft matrix and its kinds with examples," P.Rajarajeswari and P.Dhanalakshmi (2014)
- [15]IEEE International Conference on Fuzzy Systems, 6-11 (2014), pp 2222 – 2229 S. Das, M. B. Kar, T. Pal, and S. Kar, "Multiple Attribute Group Decision Making Using Interval-Valued Intuitionistic Fuzzy Soft Matrix,"
- [16]Group decision-making utilising interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy soft matrix and expert confidence weights," JAISCR, 4(1), (2014), pp.57-77.
- [17]"Fuzzy soft matrices and their applications" by Y. Yang and J. Chenli, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 7002, (2011): pp.618-627
- [18]According to Z. Kong, "Comment on A fuzzy soft set theoretic approach to decision making issues" in the Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics 223(2), pp.540–542 (2009)