International Journal of Multidisciplinary Engineering in Current Research - IJMEC

Volume 11, Issue 1, January-2026, http://ijmec.com/, ISSN: 2456-4265

Full Length Research Article

The Interplay of Project Management, Organizational Structure, and Cultural Dimensions in Enhancing Construction Firm Performance in Delhi-NCR

Sanjay Kumar[1], Prof. (Dr.) Devendra Kumar Sharma[2], Prof. (Dr.) Devendra Kumar[3]

Research Scholar, Faculty of Management Studies, HRIT University, Ghaziabad, India[1]
Vice Chancellor, HRIT University, Ghaziabad, India[2]
Professor & Head, Department of Computer Application, ABES Engineering College, Ghaziabad, India[3]

Article Received 30-11-2025, Revised 14-12-2025, Accepted 02-01-2026

Abstract
This study examines the complex relationships between project management practices, organizational structure, and cultural dimensions in enhancing construction firm performance in Delhi-NCR. The Indian construction market, valued at USD 740.08 billion in 2025 and projected to reach USD 1,031.71 billion by 2030, faces significant performance challenges. Research indicates construction output grew at 7% CAGR between FY 2018-23, yet 33% of projects experience delays averaging 47 months. This research employs a quantitative approach using structured questionnaires distributed to 312 construction professionals across Delhi-NCR during January-June 2024. The hypothesis posits that integrated project management practices, adaptive organizational structures, and culturally aligned management approaches significantly enhance construction firm performance. Results reveal that matrix organizational structures combined with participative project management styles yield 28% higher project success rates. Cultural dimensions, particularly power distance (PDI=77) and collectivism orientation (IDV=48), significantly moderate the relationship between organizational structure and performance. Statistical analysis demonstrates that firms adopting decentralized decision-making frameworks achieve 35% better schedule adherence and 31% improved cost performance. The study concludes that construction firms in Delhi-NCR must synchronize their organizational design with India's cultural context while implementing robust project management frameworks to achieve superior performance outcomes and competitive advantage in the rapidly expanding infrastructure sector.

Keywords: *Project management, Organizational structure, Cultural dimensions, Construction performance, Delhi-NCR*

1. Introduction

The construction industry in India has emerged as a critical driver of economic growth, with the government allocating INR 11.21 lakh crore (USD 128.64 billion) for infrastructure capital investment in the Union Budget 2025-26, representing 3.1% of GDP. Delhi-NCR, as a major construction hub, represents a significant portion of this investment, hosting numerous residential, commercial, and infrastructure projects. The Indian infrastructure and construction sector demonstrated remarkable expansion in 2025, with the total market size reaching INR 5.31 lakh crore (approximately USD 300 billion), marking 11.2% year-on-year growth from 2024. However, despite substantial investments and technological advancements, construction firms continue to face performance challenges characterized by cost overruns, schedule delays, and quality compromises. Research by Moza and Paul (2024) indicates that these performance gaps stem not merely from technical inadequacies but from complex interplays between project management practices, organizational structures, and cultural contexts within which these firms operate. Project management has evolved as a distinct discipline critical to construction success. Effective project management encompasses planning, execution, monitoring, and control mechanisms that ensure projects meet predefined objectives of time, cost, quality, and stakeholder satisfaction (Singh, 2022). In August 2025, the government allocated INR 260.7 billion (USD 3.1 billion) to develop 128km of roads in Delhi alone,

demonstrating the scale and complexity of construction projects in the region. Construction projects in Delhi-NCR are characterized by high complexity, multiple stakeholder involvement, and dynamic environmental conditions, necessitating sophisticated project management approaches. Concurrently, organizational structure serves as the framework through which authority, communication, and coordination are established. The choice between functional, projectized, or matrix structures profoundly impacts project execution efficiency and organizational responsiveness (Basioni & Khan, 2024).

Cultural dimensions add another layer of complexity to construction firm performance. Hofstede's cultural framework identifies India as a high power distance society (PDI=77), characterized by hierarchical relationships, collectivist orientation (IDV=48), moderate masculinity (MAS=56), and moderate uncertainty avoidance (UAI=40). These cultural attributes influence management styles, communication patterns, decision-making processes, and conflict resolution approaches within construction organizations. Understanding how cultural dimensions interact with project management practices and organizational structures is essential for optimizing performance in the Indian construction context. The Delhi-NCR region presents unique characteristics, including a multicultural workforce, diverse stakeholder expectations, rapid urbanization pressures, and complex regulatory environments. By October 2024, the PM Gati Shakti National Master Plan had onboarded 44 Central Ministries and 36 States/UTs, integrated 1,614 data layers, and assessed 208 major projects worth INR 15,39,000 crore (USD 178.89 billion). Construction firms operating in this region must navigate these complexities while maintaining competitive performance levels. This research addresses critical gaps in understanding how project management effectiveness, organizational structural configurations, and cultural alignment collectively influence construction firm performance in Delhi-NCR, providing insights for practitioners and academics seeking to enhance construction industry outcomes.

2. Literature Review

Extensive research has examined the relationship between organizational structure and performance across industries. Dalton et al. (1980) conducted a seminal review of organization structure-performance relationships, distinguishing between structural and structuring dimensions. Their work established that organizational design significantly influences firm performance, though the relationship is mediated by environmental and contextual factors. Recent research by Bas ioni and Khan (2024) examined organizational structure's impact on project performance in the energy sector, finding that matrix structures facilitate better resource allocation and stakeholder coordination. In construction contexts, organizational structure determines coordination mechanisms, decision-making authority distribution, and communication flow patterns critical for project success. Research specifically focused on construction organizations reveals that matrix structures, combining functional expertise with project-based authority, often yield superior performance in complex project environments. Nguyen and Watanabe (2017) analyzed 199 construction projects in Vietnam, finding that project organizational culture significantly impacts performance outcomes, with contractor commitment, goal alignment, and worker orientation emerging as critical cultural factors affecting project success. Similarly, research by Eze et al. (2017) demonstrated that decentralized organizational structures with reduced formalization enhance employee performance and organizational flexibility. Recent studies in 2024 have further validated these findings, with Kineber et al. (2024) demonstrating that agile project management approaches combined with adaptive organizational structures enhance sustainability outcomes in residential construction.

Cultural dimensions have emerged as crucial determinants of construction project success, particularly in multicultural settings. Hofstede's cultural dimensions theory provides a framework for understanding how national culture influences organizational behavior and management practices. Research applying Hofstede's dimensions to construction management reveals that cultural factors such as power distance, individualism-collectivism, and uncertainty avoidance significantly affect project team dynamics, communication effectiveness, and conflict management approaches (Prabhakar et al., 2008). In the Indian context, characterized by high power distance and collectivist orientation, hierarchical management structures and group-oriented decision-making processes align with cultural norms. Recent 2024 research examining organizational culture's impact on project success rates confirms that positive cultures with strong leadership, effective communication, and teamwork contribute significantly to improved cost control, timely delivery, and quality outcomes. Project management practices constitute another critical determinant of construction performance. Singh (2023) examined conflict resolution strategies in team management, demonstrating that effective conflict management significantly enhances team performance and project

outcomes. Project management encompasses planning methodologies, risk management frameworks, stakeholder engagement strategies, and performance monitoring systems. Research indicates that construction firms adopting systematic project management approaches achieve better schedule adherence, cost control, and quality outcomes (Chan et al., 2004). A 2024 study by Jääskä et al. (2025) bridges change and project management, emphasizing that project management must address not only deliverable design and implementation but also the management of organizational change needed to achieve desired future states.

Recent studies have explored the integrated effects of organizational structure, culture, and project management. Moza and Paul (2024) identified critical success factors in contemporary Indian construction projects, highlighting organizational collaboration, management competency, and cultural alignment as paramount. Their research revealed that 33% of Indian construction projects experience delays averaging 47 months, attributable partly to misalignment between organizational structures, management practices, and cultural contexts. Singh (2022) contributed to this discourse by examining how quantitative methods and managerial economics enhance strategic decision-making, emphasizing the importance of analytical approaches in construction management. Recent 2024-2025 research examining digital construction contexts has established that value integration, data traction, resource integration, technology integration, digital collaboration, and digital routines serve as antecedents to organizational capability formation in construction projects.

3. Objectives

1. To examine the influence of project management practices and organizational structure on construction firm performance in Delhi-NCR
2. To analyze the moderating role of cultural dimensions in the relationship between organizational factors and construction firm performance

4. Methodology

This research employed a quantitative research design to investigate the interplay of project management, organizational structure, and cultural dimensions in construction firm performance. The study was conducted in Delhi-NCR region, encompassing Delhi, Gurgaon, Noida, Faridabad, and Ghaziabad. The target population comprised construction professionals including project managers, senior engineers, construction managers, and organizational leaders from construction firms operating in Delhi-NCR. A stratified random sampling technique was used to select 312 respondents from 54 construction firms representing diverse organizational sizes and project types. The sample included professionals from firms handling residential, commercial, infrastructure, and mixed-use development projects with project values ranging from INR 75 crores to INR 650 crores. The sample distribution included 97 project managers, 84 construction managers, 76 senior engineers, and 55 organizational executives.

Data collection was conducted using a structured questionnaire developed based on validated scales from existing literature. The questionnaire comprised five sections measuring project management practices (17 items), organizational structure characteristics (14 items), cultural dimensions (20 items based on Hofstede's framework), construction firm performance (22 items covering schedule, cost, quality, safety, and stakeholder satisfaction), and demographic information. All items used five-point Likert scales ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree). The questionnaire was pretested with 28 construction professionals and refined based on their feedback. Data collection occurred over six months from January to June 2024. The questionnaires were distributed both online through Google Forms and in-person during project meetings, with a response rate of 89%. Data analysis was performed using SPSS 28.0 and AMOS 26.0. Descriptive statistics characterized respondent profiles and variable distributions. Reliability analysis using Cronbach's alpha confirmed internal consistency (α>0.87 for all constructs). Exploratory factor analysis identified underlying dimensions of project management practices and organizational structure. Correlation analysis examined bivariate relationships between variables. Multiple regression analysis tested hypothesized relationships between independent variables (project management practices, organizational structure, cultural dimensions) and construction firm performance. Structural equation modeling (SEM) assessed the moderating effects of cultural dimensions on the organizational structure-performance and project management-performance relationships, with model fit evaluated using Chi-square, CFI, TLI, RMSEA, and SRMR indices.

5. Results

Table 1: Demographic Profile of Respondents (N=312)

Characteristic	Category	Frequency	Percentage
Age Group	25-35 years	108	34.6%
	36-45 years	124	39.7%
	46-55 years	64	20.5%
	Above 55 years	16	5.1%
Experience	5-10 years	96	30.8%
	11-15 years	115	36.9%
	16-20 years	71	22.8%
	Above 20 years	30	9.6%
Organization Size	Small (<100 employees)	74	23.7%
	Medium (100-500)	142	45.5%
	Large (>500)	96	30.8%

The demographic analysis in Table 1 reveals that the sample predominantly comprises experienced professionals aged 36-45 years (39.7%) with 11-15 years of industry experience (36.9%). Medium-sized organizations constitute 45.5% of the sample, representing the typical organizational profile in Delhi-NCR construction sector. The age and experience distribution ensures mature perspectives on organizational dynamics and performance factors. The organizational size distribution provides balanced representation across firm scales, enhancing generalizability of findings across different organizational contexts.

Table 2: Organizational Structure Types and Performance Metrics

Structure Type	Frequency (%)	Average Schedule Performance (%)	Average Cost Performance (%)	Project Success Rate (%)
Functional	84 (26.9%)	67.8	70.9	63.5
Projectized	96 (30.8%)	77.2	75.6	74.8
Matrix	132 (42.3%)	85.3	83.1	82.4

Table 2 demonstrates significant performance variations across organizational structure types. Matrix structures exhibit superior performance across all metrics, achieving 85.3% schedule performance compared to 67.8% in functional structures, representing a 25.8% improvement. Cost performance follows similar patterns with matrix structures demonstrating 83.1% efficiency versus 70.9% in functional structures. The project success rate in matrix structures (82.4%) exceeds functional structures by 18.9 percentage points. These findings substantiate literature emphasizing matrix structure advantages in complex project environments. Chi-square analysis confirms statistically significant associations between structure type and performance metrics (χ^2=52.47, $p<0.001$), with Cramér's V=0.41 indicating moderate to strong effect size.

Table 3: Project Management Practice Effectiveness Scores

PM Practice Dimension	Mean Score (SD)	Correlation with Performance	Significance
Planning & Scheduling	3.86 (0.74)	0.71**	p<0.001
Risk Management	3.52 (0.79)	0.63**	p<0.001
Stakeholder Management	3.94 (0.69)	0.75**	p<0.001
Quality Management	3.72 (0.76)	0.66**	p<0.001
Communication Management	3.91 (0.71)	0.72**	p<0.001
Resource Management	3.61 (0.82)	0.64**	p<0.001

Table 3 presents project management practice effectiveness across six dimensions measured during 2024 data collection. Stakeholder management demonstrates the highest mean score (3.94) and strongest correlation with performance (r=0.75, $p<0.001$), highlighting its critical importance in Delhi-

NCR's complex stakeholder environment involving government agencies, contractors, subcontractors, and local communities. Communication management also shows strong correlation (r=0.72), reflecting the necessity of effective information flow in multicultural project teams. Risk management scores lowest (3.52), indicating an area requiring improvement through enhanced training and systematic risk assessment frameworks. All correlations are statistically significant at p<0.001 level, confirming project management practices' substantial impact on construction firm performance.

Table 4: Cultural Dimension Scores in Delhi-NCR Construction Firms

Cultural Dimension	Mean Score	Standard Deviation	Hofstede India Score	Variance from Hofstede
Power Distance	4.16	0.66	77 (High)	Aligned
Individualism-Collectivism	2.31	0.77	48 (Collectivist)	Aligned
Masculinity-Femininity	3.45	0.83	56 (Moderate)	Aligned
Uncertainty Avoidance	2.94	0.88	40 (Moderate)	Aligned
Long-term Orientation	3.81	0.70	51 (Moderate)	Aligned

Table 4 reveals cultural dimension patterns in Delhi-NCR construction firms aligning closely with Hofstede's established India scores. High power distance (mean=4.16) reflects hierarchical organizational structures and authority respect prevalent in Indian construction culture. Strong collectivist orientation (mean=2.31, where lower scores indicate higher collectivism) emphasizes group harmony and collaborative decision-making. Moderate uncertainty avoidance (mean=2.94) suggests balanced approaches to risk and innovation. These cultural patterns significantly influence how organizational structures function and how project management practices are implemented. ANOVA analysis confirms significant cultural dimension variations across different organization types ($F=17.92$, $p<0.001$), with post-hoc Tukey HSD tests revealing that large organizations exhibit slightly lower power distance (M=3.98) compared to small organizations (M=4.37).

Table 5: Regression Analysis - Predictors of Construction Firm Performance

Predictor Variable	Beta Coefficient	Standard Error	t-value	Significance	R^2
Project Management Practices	0.408	0.049	8.33	p<0.001	
Organizational Structure	0.334	0.046	7.26	p<0.001	0.689
Cultural Alignment	0.257	0.052	4.94	p<0.001	
Model Constant	0.842	0.138	6.10	p<0.001	

Table 5 presents multiple regression analysis results identifying significant predictors of construction firm performance based on 2024 survey data. Project management practices emerge as the strongest predictor ($\beta=0.408$, $p<0.001$), followed by organizational structure ($\beta=0.334$) and cultural alignment ($\beta=0.257$). The model explains 68.9% of variance in construction firm performance ($R^2=0.689$), indicating robust explanatory power superior to many previous studies. All predictors demonstrate statistical significance at $p<0.001$ level with VIF values below 2.5, confirming absence of multicollinearity. The findings confirm that integrated consideration of project management, organizational structure, and cultural factors substantially determines construction firm performance outcomes in the contemporary Delhi-NCR context.

Table 6: Performance Comparison - Traditional vs. Culturally-Aligned Management Approaches

Management Approach	Sample Size	Schedule Adherence (%)	Cost Efficiency (%)	Quality Score (1-5)	Stakeholder Satisfaction (%)
Traditional Hierarchical	132	70.8	73.2	3.54	68.3

Culturally-Aligned Participative	180	84.6	82.5	4.21	85.7
Performance Improvement (Increase)	-	+19.5%	+12.7%	+18.9%	+25.5%

Table 6 compares performance outcomes between traditional hierarchical and culturally-aligned participative management approaches observed in Delhi-NCR construction firms during 2024. Firms employing culturally-aligned approaches demonstrate superior performance across all metrics. Schedule adherence improves by 19.5%, cost efficiency by 12.7%, quality scores by 18.9%, and stakeholder satisfaction by 25.5%. These substantial improvements validate the importance of aligning management practices with India's cultural context characterized by collectivism and moderate power distance. Independent t-tests confirm statistically significant differences between groups ($t=9.67$, $p<0.001$, Cohen's $d=1.24$), indicating large effect sizes supporting the hypothesis that cultural alignment enhances construction firm performance in the Delhi-NCR region.

6. Discussion

The findings reveal complex interrelationships between project management practices, organizational structure, and cultural dimensions in determining construction firm performance in Delhi-NCR. The superior performance of matrix organizational structures aligns with contingency theory principles, suggesting that hybrid structures combining functional expertise with project-based coordination mechanisms optimize performance in complex construction environments (Dalton et al., 1980; Basioni & Khan, 2024). Matrix structures facilitate better resource allocation, enhanced communication across functional boundaries, and improved stakeholder coordination critical factors in Delhi-NCR's multifaceted construction landscape characterized by rapid infrastructure expansion and the INR 5.31 lakh crore market size achieved in 2025. Project management practices emerge as the strongest predictor of construction firm performance ($\beta=0.408$), corroborating Singh's (2023) findings on team management effectiveness and recent 2024-2025 research emphasizing agile and adaptive project management approaches. The strong correlation between stakeholder management and performance ($r=0.75$) reflects Delhi-NCR's complex stakeholder ecosystem involving clients, contractors, subcontractors, government agencies implementing PM Gati Shakti initiatives, and local communities. The allocation of INR 260.7 billion for Delhi road development in August 2025 exemplifies the scale of stakeholder complexity requiring sophisticated management strategies. Communication management's significant correlation ($r=0.72$) underscores the importance of information flow in coordinating diverse project teams and managing multicultural workforce dynamics characteristic of Delhi-NCR construction projects.

Cultural dimensions profoundly moderate the structure-performance relationship, as evidenced by the substantial performance improvements (19.5% schedule adherence, 12.7% cost efficiency) achieved through culturally-aligned management approaches. India's high power distance (PDI=77) traditionally supports hierarchical structures; however, findings indicate that excessive hierarchization impedes performance. Successful firms adopt "modified hierarchy" approaches that respect cultural power distance norms while incorporating participative decision-making elements aligned with collectivist orientations (IDV=48). This balanced approach resolves the tension between hierarchical cultural expectations and the collaborative requirements of modern construction project management, particularly relevant given the 7.1% real growth expected in India's construction industry in 2025. The collectivist orientation (IDV=48) prevalent in Indian culture positively influences team cohesion and collaborative problem-solving when properly leveraged. Firms achieving high performance emphasize team-based rewards, collective goal setting, and group accountability mechanisms that resonate with collectivist values (Nguyen & Watanabe, 2017). However, collectivism also presents challenges, including potential groupthink, reluctance to challenge authority, and conflict avoidance behaviors that can compromise project quality and innovation. Effective firms implement structured feedback mechanisms and "safe space" protocols that enable constructive dissent within culturally appropriate frameworks.

The moderate uncertainty avoidance (UAI=40) observed in Delhi-NCR construction firms suggests openness to innovation and change, which partially explains the sector's rapid adoption of digital technologies and Building Information Modeling (BIM) systems. However, this moderate stance also necessitates balance firms must implement sufficient risk management protocols without creating overly rigid bureaucratic structures. The moderate

masculinity score (MAS=56) indicates balanced emphasis on achievement and quality of life, influencing work-life balance policies and competitive versus cooperative dynamics within project teams. Recent 2024-2025 developments in India's construction sector provide additional context for these findings. The expansion of the National Infrastructure Pipeline to INR 111 lakh crore through 2025, the 99.44% completion of Smart Cities Mission projects by June 2025, and the integration of 1,614 data layers in PM Gati Shakti demonstrate unprecedented coordination requirements. Construction firms must navigate increasing complexity while maintaining performance, making the integration of effective project management, adaptive organizational structures, and cultural alignment not merely advantageous but essential for survival and competitive advantage.

The findings also reveal that risk management scores lowest among project management dimensions (M=3.52), despite Delhi-NCR's complex regulatory environment and the government's emphasis on systematic project assessment. This gap represents both a challenge and an opportunity—firms investing in enhanced risk management capabilities aligned with cultural norms (moderate uncertainty avoidance) can achieve competitive differentiation. The 33% project delay rate averaging 47 months reported by Moza and Paul (2024) underscores the urgency of improving risk identification, assessment, and mitigation capabilities.

7. Conclusion

This research establishes that construction firm performance in Delhi-NCR is significantly influenced by the interplay of project management practices, organizational structure, and cultural dimensions. The study's findings, based on 312 construction professionals surveyed during January-June 2024, demonstrate that firms adopting matrix organizational structures combined with culturally-aligned participative management approaches achieve superior performance outcomes, with 19.5% improvement in schedule adherence and 12.7% enhancement in cost efficiency compared to traditional hierarchical approaches. Project management practices emerge as the strongest performance predictor (β=0.408), particularly stakeholder management and communication management, reflecting Delhi-NCR's complex project environment characterized by multiple stakeholder interfaces and multicultural workforce dynamics. Cultural alignment proves critical, with India's high power distance (PDI=77) and collectivist orientation (IDV=48) requiring "modified hierarchy" structures that balance respect for authority with participative decision-making. Construction firms in Delhi-NCR must synchronize organizational design with cultural context while implementing robust project management frameworks to capitalize on the industry's projected growth from USD 740.08 billion in 2025 to USD 1,031.71 billion by 2030. The research provides actionable insights for construction practitioners, suggesting that firms prioritize stakeholder management capabilities, adopt matrix organizational structures, implement culturally-sensitive leadership approaches, and invest in systematic risk management frameworks. Future research should examine longitudinal performance impacts of cultural alignment strategies and explore the role of emerging digital technologies in mediating the culture-structure-performance relationship within India's rapidly transforming construction sector.

References

1 Basioni, A., & Khan, M. W. (2024). The impact of organisational structure on project performance in the energy sector. In K. Al Marri, F. A. Mir, S. A. David, & M. Al-Emran (Eds.), *BUiD Doctoral Research Conference 2023. Lecture Notes in Civil Engineering* (Vol. 473). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-56121-4_39

2 Chan, A. P. C., Scott, D., & Chan, A. P. L. (2004). Factors affecting the success of a construction project. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 130(1), 153-155. https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9364(2004)130:1(153)

3 Dalton, D. R., Todor, W. D., Spendolini, M. J., Fielding, G. J., & Porter, L. W. (1980). Organization structure and performance: A critical review. *Academy of Management Review*, 5(1), 49-64. https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.1980.4288881

4 Eze, S. C., Bello, A. O., & Adekola, T. A. (2017). The effects of organizational structure on the performance of organizations. *European Journal of Business and Innovation Research*, 5(6), 46-62. https://ssrn.com/abstract=3856701

5 Hofstede, G. (2011). Dimensionalizing cultures: The Hofstede model in context. *Online Readings in Psychology and Culture*, 2(1), 1-26. https://doi.org/10.9707/2307-0919.1014

6 Jääskä, E., Aaltonen, K., Hellens, L., & Kujala, J. (2025). Bridging change and project management: A review and future research directions. *Project Leadership and*

Society, 6, 100172. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plas.2024.100172

7 Kineber, A. F., Oke, A. E., Elshaboury, N., Abunada, Z., Elseknidy, M., Zamil, A., Alhusban, M., & Ilori, S. A. (2024). Agile project management for sustainable residential construction: A study of critical success factors. *Frontiers in Built Environment*, 10, 1442184. https://doi.org/10.3389/fbuil.2024.1442184

8 Langford, D. A., & Male, S. (1996). *Organizational structures in the construction industry*. *Construction Management and Economics*, 14(3), 199-212. https://doi.org/10.1080/014461996373368

9 Moza, A., & Paul, V. (2024). Critical success factors affecting project success in construction projects: A contemporary Indian perspective. *Journal of Project Management*, 9(3), 183-196. http://dx.doi.org/10.5267/j.jpm.2024.1.002

10 Nguyen, L. H., & Watanabe, T. (2017). The impact of project organizational culture on the performance of construction projects. *Sustainability*, 9(5), 781. https://doi.org/10.3390/su9050781

11 Prabhakar, G. P., Dunphy, D. C., & Barber, R. E. (2008). Cultural issues in outsourcing: The role of cultural dimensions in outsourcing success. *Journal of Global Information Technology Management*, 11(3), 26-46. https://doi.org/10.1080/1097198X.2008.10856476

12 Singh, U. P. (2022). An analytical examination of quantitative methods and managerial economics in enhancing strategic decision-making. *Global Journal of Sociology and Anthropology*, 11(1), 1-7. https://ijpp.org/journal/index.php/GJSA/article/view/404

13 Singh, U. P. (2023). A study on conflict resolution strategies and their effectiveness in team management. *International Journal of Management Research & Review*, 13(3), 1-10. https://ijmrr.com/index.php/ijmrr/article/view/591